

HIGHER FRIEZE PATTERNS

JORDAN MCMAHON

ABSTRACT. Frieze patterns have an interesting combinatorial structure, which has proven very useful in the study of cluster algebras. We introduce (k, n) -frieze patterns, a natural generalisation of the classical notion. A generalisation of the bijective correspondence between frieze patterns of width n and clusters of Plücker coordinates in the cluster structure of the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(2, n+3)$ is obtained.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Acknowledgements	2
3. Coxeter's Frieze Patterns	2
4. Background	3
4.1. Plücker Relations	3
4.2. Higher-Dimensional Auslander-Reiten Theory	4
5. Higher Frieze Patterns	5
6. Geometric Frieze Patterns	10
6.1. Main Result	10
7. Further Directions	12
References	13

1. INTRODUCTION

Frieze patterns were introduced by Coxeter in 1971 [6], and a bijection between frieze patterns of width n and triangulations of $(n+3)$ -gons was established by Conway and Coxeter shortly thereafter [4][5]. This impressive result received wider interest with the advent of cluster algebras, introduced by Fomin and Zelevinsky in [8][9]. Frieze patterns satisfy many nice combinatorial properties, such as being invariant under a glide reflection, and are therefore periodic. There already exist many generalisations of frieze patterns, see [12] for a comprehensive introduction to the subject.

A first motivating example of a cluster algebra was given by the homogeneous coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(2, n+3)]$ of the Grassmannian of 2-dimensional subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^{n+3}$, the ring being generated by Plücker coordinates, and subject to Plücker relations. Each cluster of Plücker coordinates in the cluster structure of $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(2, n+3)]$ corresponds to a cluster-tilted algebra of Dynkin type A_n [9, Prop 12.7]. In particular, each cluster in this cluster algebra corresponds to a triangulation of an $n+3$ -gon, just as each frieze pattern of width n does. This connection was formalised in [3], where the Caldero-Chapoton formula was introduced for clusters and found to determine the entries in the corresponding frieze pattern.

However, while cluster algebras for Grassmannians $\text{Gr}(k, n)$ are well understood in the case that $k = 2$, they are by no means limited to this case. In [15], Scott proved that the homogeneous

More interesting examples include:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 3 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array}$$

A frieze pattern is said to be of width n if it has n rows strictly between the border rows of ones at the top and bottom.

4. BACKGROUND

4.1. Plücker Relations. Recall that the Grassmannian of all k -dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^n$, $\text{Gr}(k, n)$, can be embedded into the projective space $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^k(\mathbb{C}^n))$ via the Plücker embedding. The coordinates of $\wedge^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ are called the *Plücker coordinates* and are indexed by the k -subsets $I = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, where $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$. The coordinate defined by $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}$ will be denoted $p_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}$.

The ordering of elements in a k -subset may not always be known; the definition of the Plücker coordinates may be extended to allow for this. By convention, rearrangements of Plücker coordinates are treated by setting

$$(1) \quad p_{i_1 \dots i_r i_s \dots i_k} = -p_{i_1 \dots i_s i_r \dots i_k}.$$

In particular, if $i_r = i_s$ then $p_{i_1 \dots i_r i_r \dots i_k} = 0$. The Plücker embedding satisfies the determinantal *Plücker relations*. In the case $k = 2$, the Plücker relations are

$$p_{ab}p_{cd} = p_{ac}p_{bd} + p_{ad}p_{bc},$$

where $1 \leq a < b < c < d \leq n$. Now, let $p_{I i_1 i_2 \dots i_r}$ be the Plücker coordinate for the subset $I \cup \{i_1\} \cup \{i_2\} \cup \dots \cup \{i_r\}$. Then, more generally, the Plücker relations for $k > 2$ are

$$p_{Iab}p_{Icd} = p_{Iac}p_{Ibd} + p_{Iad}p_{Ibc},$$

where I is a $k - 2$ -subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ with $\{a, b, c, d\} \cap I = \emptyset$.

4.2. Higher-Dimensional Auslander-Reiten Theory. Let Γ be a finite dimensional algebra satisfying

$$\text{gl. dim.}(\Gamma) \leq n \leq \text{dom. dim.}(\Gamma)$$

for some positive integer $n \geq 2$. Then Γ is said to be an n -Auslander algebra. Such algebras play a crucial role in (higher) cluster-tilting theory. In [11], Iyama describes an inductive construction of an $(i+1)$ -Auslander algebra from an i -Auslander algebra. In particular, if Q is a Dynkin quiver, then Auslander correspondence [2] implies there is a corresponding 2-Auslander algebra of kQ . This allows us to inductively construct the n -Auslander algebra of kQ for an arbitrary positive integer n .

Expanding on this, let Q be a Dynkin quiver, and let τ be the Auslander-Reiten translation on kQ . There is an explicit description of the quiver of the n -Auslander algebra of kQ . Let x be a vertex of Q and let C_x be the maximal value such that $\tau^{C_x}(I_x) \neq 0$. For positive integers C, n , define

$$\Delta_C^{(n)} := \{(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n \geq 1; l_1 + l_2 + \dots + l_n \leq C + n\}.$$


Finally, set e_i to be the $\mathbb{Z}^n$ vector with 1 in the i -th coordinate, and 0 in every other coordinate. Then let

$$v_i = \begin{cases} -e_i & i = 1, \\ e_{i-1} - e_i & 2 \leq i \leq n. \end{cases}$$


For a Dynkin quiver Q , Iyama describes the quiver of its n -Auslander algebra $(kQ)^{(n)}$ to consist of:

- The vertices are $Q_0^{(n)} = \{(x, \underline{l}) \mid x \in Q_0; \underline{l} \in \Delta_{C_x}^{(n)}\}$.
- There is an arrow from a vertex $(x, \underline{l})$ to the vertex $(y, \underline{m})$ if and only if one of the following cases holds:
 - There is an arrow $y \rightarrow x$ in Q_1 and $\underline{l} = \underline{m}$.
 - There is an arrow $x \rightarrow y$ in Q_1 and $\underline{m} = \underline{l} + v_1$.
 - If $x = y$ and $\underline{m} = \underline{l} + v_i$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$.

For example, the Dynkin A_4 quiver $Q = 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4$. Rewriting $(x, l_1, \dots, l_n)$ as $xl_1 \cdots l_n$, the quiver of the Auslander algebra of kQ is:




The quiver of the 2-Auslander algebra of kQ is as follows:



In the case that $Q = 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow m$, we denote the i -Auslander algebra of kQ as A_m^i .

5. HIGHER FRIEZE PATTERNS

In the two-dimensional case, a glide reflection is a reflection about a line composed with a translation along that line. An important property of frieze patterns is that they are invariant under a glide reflection and hence periodic. Pictorially, this means points on a frieze pattern of width n can be parameterised by 2-subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n+3\}$, where each subset $\{i, j\}$ has at most four neighbours: $\{i-1, j\}$, $\{i, j-1\}$, $\{i+1, j\}$ and $\{i, j+1\}$, where addition is modulo $n+3$. The case where $n=3$ is shown below:



To define a frieze pattern, choose values $\{p_I\}$ for each 2-subset I of $\{1, 2, \dots, n+3\}$ with the conditions that for any $i \leq n$, $p_{ii} = 0$ and $p_{i(i+1)} = 1$ (addition modulo $n+3$). In this perspective, the unimodular rule is equivalent to the Plücker relations: any diamond has values given by

$$\begin{array}{cc} & p_{x(y+1)} \\ p_{xy} & p_{(x+1)(y+1)} \\ & p_{(x+1)y} \end{array}$$

So the Plücker relations


$$p_{xy}p_{(x+1)(y+1)} = p_{x(y+1)}p_{(x+1)y} + p_{x(x+1)}p_{y(y+1)}$$

imply the unimodular rule, using $p_{x(x+1)}p_{y(y+1)} = 1$ as above. It then makes sense to generalise frieze patterns in the following sense.

Definition 5.1. Let k and n be positive integers such that $2 \leq k \leq n/2$. A (k, n) -frieze pattern, $\mathcal{P} = \{p_I\}$, is given by a set of non-negative integers such that:

- Each k -subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, I , is associated a non-negative integer value p_I .
- Each *interval of length k* , that is a subset $I = \{i, i+1, \dots, i+k-1\}$ for a given $1 \leq i \leq n$ and under addition modulo n , satisfies $p_I = 1$.

FIGURE 1. Coordinate labelling of a $(3, 6)$ -frieze pattern. The red vertices denote two copies of the fundamental domain, with black vertices denoting a third copy that can be obtained from each of the other two by a glide reflection along a plane.




- The set of values $\{p_I\}$ satisfy the (k, n) -Plücker relations.

We will also call a subset that is an interval of length k *consecutive*, and those subsets that are not an interval of length k *non-consecutive*. Let $I = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$ be a k -subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$. We will denote $p_I = p_{x_1 x_2 \dots x_n}$. Note that if a subset I contains repeated elements, the Plücker relations imply $p_I = 0$, so we omit p_I from the pattern. Further note that we will draw an edge between p_I and p_J if $I \setminus \{i\} = J \setminus \{i+1\}$ modulo n for some $1 \leq i \leq n$.

A $(2, n)$ -frieze pattern is just a Coxeter frieze pattern of width $n - 3$. A $(3, 6)$ -frieze pattern $\mathcal{P} = \{p_I\}$ consists of a three dimensional *fundamental domain* consisting of the set of integers

FIGURE 2. Let p, q, r and s be positive integers as in Definition 5.2. They define the generalised diamond pictured below.



$\{p_I | I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}; |I| = 3\}$, extended periodically by glide reflections about a plane as in Figure 1. Likewise, a $(4, 8)$ -frieze pattern has coordinates as in Figure 3.

One complicating factor in the study of higher frieze patterns is that they should be visualised in a higher dimension. In contrast, any Plücker exchange relation should still be visualised on a two dimensional plane. We introduce a convention to make sense of this disparity. For a (k, n) -frieze pattern, we consider an arbitrary non-empty $(k-2)$ -subset $J = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-2}\}$, where $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_{k-2} < x_1$ (modulo n). Then for each $1 \leq i \leq k-2$ such that $x_i - x_{i-1} \geq 2$ (modulo n) we depict the *cross-sectional triangle at (J, x_i)* as the set of values

$$\{p_I | J \subseteq I; I \setminus J \subset \{x_{i-1} + 1, x_{i-1} + 2, \dots, x_i - 1\}\}.$$

In the case $k = 2$, then J is empty, so we consider a cross-sectional triangle to be the same as a fundamental domain. It is easy to see that each cross-sectional triangle takes the form of a triangle, compare with Figure 3. Now that we have triangles, we can generalise diamond relations to higher dimensions.

Definition 5.2. Given the cross-sectional triangle at (J, x_i) for some $(k-2)$ -subset of $J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, let $J = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-2}\}$, where $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_{k-2}$ (modulo n) and $x_i - x_{i-1} > 4$. Let p, q, r and s be positive integers with $x_{i-1} + p + q + r + s < x_i$; they define a *generalised diamond relation* as follows.

Let A be at $J \cup \{x_{i-1} + p, x_{i-1} + p + q + r + s\}$; B at $J \cup \{x_{i-1} + p, x_{i-1} + p + q + r\}$; C at $J \cup \{x_{i-1} + p + q, x_{i-1} + p + q + r + s\}$; D at $J \cup \{x_{i-1} + p + q, x_{i-1} + p + q + r\}$; E at $J \cup \{x_{i-1} + p, x_{i-1} + p + q\}$ and F at $J \cup \{x_{i-1} + p + q + r, x_{i-1} + p + q + r + s\}$.


Then the following (generalised diamond) relation holds

$$BC - AD = EF.$$

This is illustrated in Figure 2, where we further denote X by the value at the subset $J \cup \{x_{i-1} + 1, x_{i-1} + 2\}$, let Y be at $J \cup \{x_{i-1} + 1, x_i - 1\}$ and Z at $J \cup \{x_i - 2, x_i - 1\}$.

Remark. In the case $k = 2$ we have a Coxeter frieze pattern. Then the unimodular rule on diamonds in a Coxeter frieze pattern is a restriction of the generalised diamond rule, setting $q = s = 1$.

FIGURE 3. Coordinate labelling of a $(4, 8)$ -frieze pattern



Proposition 5.1. (1) *The fundamental domain of a (k, n) -frieze pattern has the same underlying graph as A_{n-k+1}^{k-1} .*

(2) *Every generalised diamond relation in a (k, n) -frieze pattern is determined by a (k, n) -Plücker relation. In particular, for $k \leq 3$, there is a bijection between generalised diamond relations within a (k, n) -frieze pattern and (k, n) -Plücker relations.*

Proof. The fundamental domain of a (k, n) -frieze patterns consists of the k -subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then part (1) follows from the observation that the set of vertex labels of a A_{n-k+1}^{k-1} is in bijection with the k -subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ under the map

$$\phi: (j, l_1, \dots, l_{k-1}) \mapsto \{l_{k-1}, l_{k-1} + l_{k-2}, \dots, l_{k-1} + l_{k-2} + \dots + l_1, l_{k-1} + l_{k-2} + \dots + l_1 + j\}.$$


Standard Auslander-Reiten theory provides $C_j = (n - k + 1) - j$ and the condition $l_1 + l_2 + \cdots + l_{k-1} \leq (k - 1) + C_j$ means

$$l_{k-1} + l_{k-2} + \cdots + l_1 + j \leq (n - k + 1) + (k - 1) = n.$$

So the map sends each vertex of A_{n-k+1}^{k-1} to a k -subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ (each element of the subset must be distinct as each $l_j \geq 1$). Conversely, every k -subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, $I = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$, defines a unique vertex of A_{n-k+1}^{k-1} by setting $l_{k-1} = x_1$, $j = x_k - x_r$ and $l_{k-i} = x_i - x_{i-1}$ for $2 \leq i \leq k-1$.

We further claim that the edges in the fundamental domain of a (k, n) -frieze pattern coincide with the arrows of A_{n-k+1}^{k-1} . Recall that there is an arrow from $(x, \underline{l})$ to $(y, \underline{m})$ in A_{n-k+1}^{k-1} if one of the following cases holds:

- will not correspond to a generalised diamond relation.

FIGURE 5. A non-geometric $(3, 6)$ -frieze pattern.

Remark. Part (1) of Proposition 5.1 can be rephrased to say that the fundamental domain of a (k, n) -frieze pattern has the underlying graph of a *higher Auslander algebra* when $k > 2$. It is for this reason that we call a (k, n) -frieze pattern for $k > 2$ a *higher frieze pattern*.

6. GEOMETRIC FRIEZE PATTERNS

6.1. Main Result. We now turn our attention towards cluster algebras. The main result of this section is a generalisation of the bijection between Coxeter's frieze patterns and clusters of Plücker coordinates in the cluster structure of Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(2, n)$.

Definition 6.1. A (k, n) -frieze pattern is *geometric* if the number of k -subsets $I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ that satisfy $p_I = 1$ is exactly $(k - 1)(n - k - 1) + n$.

Not every frieze pattern is geometric - to the point that many (k, n) -frieze patterns do not contain a non-consecutive subset I with $p_I = 1$. For examples of a $(3, 6)$ and a $(3, 9)$ frieze pattern where this happens, see Figures 5 and 6. Two k -subsets I and J are said to be *non-crossing* (sometimes referred to as *weakly separated*, see for example [7], [13]) if there do not exist elements $s < t < u < v$ (ordered modulo n) where $s, u \in I \setminus J$ and $t, v \in J \setminus I$.

Theorem 6.1. For $2 \leq k \leq n/2$, a cluster consisting only of Plücker coordinates in the cluster structure of $\text{Gr}(k, n)$ determines a unique geometric frieze pattern. If further $k \leq 3$, this restricts to a bijection between geometric (k, n) -frieze patterns and clusters consisting only of Plücker coordinates in the cluster structure of $\text{Gr}(k, n)$.

FIGURE 6. An example of a $(3, 9)$ -frieze pattern that is not geometric. We have omitted the edges between different cross-sectional triangles.





FIGURE 7. A geometric $(3, 6)$ -frieze pattern expressed via its Laurent polynomials. The frieze pattern on the left of Figure 4 can be obtained by setting $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = x_4 = 1$



Proof. When $k = 2$, this is well known, see for example [12]. In the case $k = 3$, we will actually prove that there is a bijection between maximal collections of pairwise non-crossing k subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $\mathcal{C}$, and geometric (k, n) -frieze patterns, $\mathcal{P}$.

Given a $(3, n)$ -frieze pattern $\mathcal{P}$, and any two 3-subsets I and J with $p_I = p_J = 1$, then Theorem 5.6 of [7] implies that I and J are non-crossing. Further, Theorem 7.1 in [13] states that a set of non-consecutive, pairwise non-crossing k -subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is maximal if and only if it has $(k-1)(n-k-1)$ elements. So each geometric $(3, n)$ -frieze pattern $\mathcal{P}$ determines a maximal collection of pairwise non-crossing 3-subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $\mathcal{C} = \{I | p_I = 1\}$.

We are left to show that any maximal set of pairwise non-crossing k -subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $\mathcal{C}$ generates a geometric (k, n) -frieze pattern, $\mathcal{P}$. Such a maximal set of pairwise non-crossing k -subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ determines a cluster of Plücker coordinates in the cluster structure of the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(k, n)$. In other words each k -subset $I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is associated a unique Laurent polynomial $f_I(\underline{x})$ over the indeterminates $\underline{x} = (x_i | i \in \mathcal{C})$. Moreover we must have that $p_I = f_I(\underline{x})$ for any choice of values of the x_i . Simply set $x_i = 1$ for all $i \in \mathcal{C}$ and it is now immediate that this determines a geometric (k, n) -frieze pattern. $\square$

Remark. To reiterate, not only does any maximal collection of non-crossing k -subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ define a (k, n) -frieze pattern, but this frieze pattern is unique.

7. FURTHER DIRECTIONS

An early observation is that a (Coxeter) frieze pattern of width $(n-3)$ is completely determined by its first non-trivial row, and that this row consists of a sequence of n integers repeated

periodically. A sequence of n integers that induces a frieze pattern (of width $(n - 3)$) is called a *quiddity sequence of order n* .

Conway and Coxeter [4] used quiddity sequences to prove that the frieze patterns of width $(n - 3)$ are in bijection with the triangulations of an n -gon. The proof is elementary, yet insightful.

We plan to address the issue of higher quiddity sequences in a sequel paper, and determine the class of higher frieze patterns for which higher quiddity sequences have properties analogous to the classical notion.

REFERENCES

1. Ibrahim Assem, Christophe Reutenauer, and David Smith, *Friezes*, Adv. Math. **225** (2010), no. 6, 3134–3165. MR 2729004
2. Maurice Auslander, *Representation dimension of artin algebras*, Lecture notes, Queen Mary College, London, 1971.
3. Philippe Caldero and Frédéric Chapoton, *Cluster algebras as Hall algebras of quiver representations*, Comment. Math. Helv. **81** (2006), no. 3, 595–616. MR 2250855
4. J. H. Conway and H. S. M. Coxeter, *Triangulated polygons and frieze patterns*, Math. Gaz. **57** (1973), no. 400, 87–94. MR 0461269
5. ———, *Triangulated polygons and frieze patterns*, Math. Gaz. **57** (1973), no. 401, 175–183. MR 0461270
6. H. S. M. Coxeter, *Frieze patterns*, Acta Arith. **18** (1971), 297–310. MR 0286771
7. Miriam Farber and Alexander Postnikov, *Arrangements of equal minors in the positive Grassmannian*, Adv. Math. **300** (2016), 788–834. MR 3534845
8. Sergey Fomin and Andrei Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras. I. Foundations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), no. 2, 497–529 (electronic). MR 1887642
9. ———, *Cluster algebras. II. Finite type classification*, Invent. Math. **154** (2003), no. 1, 63–121. MR 2004457
10. Osamu Iyama, *Higher-dimensional Auslander-Reiten theory on maximal orthogonal subcategories*, Adv. Math. **210** (2007), no. 1, 22–50. MR 2298819
11. ———, *Cluster tilting for higher Auslander algebras*, Adv. Math. **226** (2011), no. 1, 1–61. MR 2735750
12. Sophie Morier-Genoud, *Coxeter’s frieze patterns at the crossroads of algebra, geometry and combinatorics*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **47** (2015), no. 6, 895–938. MR 3431573
13. Suho Oh, Alexander Postnikov, and David E. Speyer, *Weak separation and plabic graphs*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **110** (2015), no. 3, 721–754. MR 3342103
14. Steffen Oppermann and Hugh Thomas, *Higher-dimensional cluster combinatorics and representation theory*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **14** (2012), no. 6, 1679–1737. MR 2984586
15. Joshua S. Scott, *Grassmannians and cluster algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **92** (2006), no. 2, 345–380. MR 2205721